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Alternative 25 - Retain Union Street and Brown Bridge Dams and Remove Sabin and Boardman Dams

Introduction
This fact sheet is a summary of a detailed analysis of the alternative described above. The alternative was selected for detailed analysis along with five (5) other alternatives by the Boardman River Dams Committee. The following information is provided as a summary of the analysis of the alternative. Information on the existing conditions and impacts of this alternative can be obtained by reviewing the complete report on the website. You may notice that the description of the analysis of the alternative sometimes includes at the end of certain sentences an alphanumeric code in parentheses. This code refers to the list of questions that was included in the Request for Proposals.

Description
This alternative would consist of retaining Union Street Dam, along with the existing fish ladder and DNR weir operation. In addition, Brown Bridge Dam will be repaired and retained. The fish ladder at Union Street would be operated to allow native species of Great Lakes fish and salmon and trout to pass around the dam, but invasive aquatic species would be blocked at Union Street. Sabin Dam would be breached to allow a free flowing river to be restored from the upstream end of Boardman Pond to Boardman Lake. Boardman Dam would be breached and Boardman Pond would be replaced with a river. The Brown Bridge Dam would be repaired and retained without any action to mitigate the impact of warm water discharge on downstream coldwater habitat.

Impacts of Retaining Union Street and Brown Bridge Dams and Removing Sabin and Boardman Dams

ENVIRONMENTAL:

Fish and Wildlife Populations
- Fish and wildlife, including but not limited to eagles, swans, nesting ducks, shorebirds, insects, ruffed grouse, hex hatches, cold and warm water fish, fur bearing mammals, and deer will be impacted in different ways depending on the habitat requirements of the species. (A2) Primary changes to fish and wildlife habitat from removal of a dam will be the loss of impounded water and its lake-like, slower-moving water and warmer water habitats and the transition to the Boardman's historic cold water riparian habitats similar to those found along the free-flowing unimpounded sections of the river. Wildlife species living in or dependent upon lake-like conditions will lose habitat and population. Wildlife species that prefer riverine, flowing-water habitat, or that will benefit from the restoration of riparian and wetland habitats by removal of an impoundment, will gain.
- Reptiles and amphibians: Habitat for Blanding’s turtles will decrease while habitat for wood turtles will increase due to cooler water temperature and increased flowing-water or riverine habitats; both are species of special concern. The leopard frog population at Brown Bridge pond will likely not change although the reduction in water levels that occurred fall 2007, if continued, may affect their habitat and ultimately their population.
- Birds: Nesting loons on Boardman Pond will be forced to adjust to habitat changes resulting from the dam removal.
- Wildlife populations may be exposed to additional concentrations of contaminants from Great Lakes fish that are allowed to access the Boardman River.
- Migratory fish passage will be managed at the weir and Union Street Dam and certain species of fish will be allowed access to the Boardman River. (A13, A16 and D15)
- The adverse environmental impact to cold-water fisheries of the Brown Bridge Dam will not be mitigated (B3).
- Salmon and steelhead spawning will occur in the Boardman River up to Brown Bridge Dam.
- A cold water fishery will develop in the area of the existing Sabin Pond and Boardman Pond. The portion of Boardman River below Brown Bridge Dam will not develop an improved trout population due to warm water discharge. The cold water fish populations in the remaining portion of the Boardman River will not be significantly impacted. (A18 and C20)
- The warm water fish population in Sabin and Boardman Ponds will be replaced with a cold water fish population in the new segment of the Boardman River.
- Control of invasive aquatic species will be maintained by the weir and dam at Union St. and the threat of invasive aquatic species entering the Boardman River will not be significantly impacted. (A10)

**Threatened and Endangered Species**
- Existing use of Boardman Pond by threatened and endangered species that use the open water area of Boardman Pond will be adversely impacted in that the open water habitat will be replaced with a cold water river.
- Opportunities for threatened and endangered species that rely on cold water habitat, wetlands and upland habitat will be realized in the new habitat developed after the impoundments are removed.
- Loon nesting on Boardman Pond will be adversely impacted by altered water level, but the loons may relocate to other suitable habitat in the region.
- Contaminants from Great Lakes fish may affect certain wildlife populations, including, but not limited to, loons and bald eagles.

**Plant communities and habitat**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat Type</th>
<th>Existing</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New River Channel (from Boardman Lake to Boardman Pond Inlet)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing River</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impoundment/Lake</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riparian Habitat</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Upland Habitat</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River Upstream from Brown Bridge</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,242</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,242</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The wetlands at Sabin Pond and Boardman Pond will change from emergent and floating-leaved plant communities to emergent and riparian wetlands along the new river channel with a net increase in wetland acreage. Ground water seeps along the edge of the new river will support wetland communities. New upland plant communities and habitat will develop along the shores of the former impoundments.
- The hydroperiod of wetlands in the impoundments will be changed from permanently inundated to seasonally inundated. (A3)
• Wetlands along the river beyond the influence of the water level in the impoundment will not be significantly impacted.

**Hydrology and Hydraulics**
• The flow of water will not be impacted by this alternative.
• The flow of water upstream of the Brown Bridge Dam will not be significantly impacted. (A24)
• The size and extent of floodplain elevations will be significantly lower in the areas of the former impoundments.

**Stream Channel**
• The stream channel of the Boardman River will not be impacted in the vicinity of Union Street. The impoundment at Sabin Pond will be replaced with approximately 1.0 mile of new stream channel. The impoundment at Boardman Pond will be replaced with approximately 2.0 miles of new stream channel.
• Channel erosion will occur along the banks of the new river in the area occupied by Sabin Pond and Boardman Pond and will eventually stabilize as vegetation becomes established.
• Tributaries to the Boardman River upstream from Brown Bridge Pond will not be impacted.

**Sediment**
• Contaminated sediments that exist in and portions of Boardman Lake and Brown Bridge Pond will remain.
• Contaminated sediment in Sabin Pond and Boardman Pond will be managed in accordance with MDEQ guidelines, which typically requires removal and stabilization of contaminated sediment.
• Sediment that is stored in the delta and along the new stream channel will be stabilized using several different techniques.
• Base load sediment levels in the river channel will be restored below the dams that are removed.

**Water Quality**
• Water quality will not be significantly altered and the warm water adverse impact of the Brown Bridge Dam will not be mitigated. (A9)
• The regional wastewater treatment plant will not be impacted.

**Ground water**
• There will be no significant impact on water supplies and septic systems of properties adjacent to the impoundments. (B10, A15)

**SOCIETAL:**
• The property boundaries of private property will not be significantly impacted. The property adjacent to Brown Bridge Pond and Sabin Pond is primarily in public ownership. (D1)
• There will be no significant change in the risk to property owners due to storm events and flooding. (D2)
Recreation

- Recreational uses will not be significantly impacted at Boardman Lake. Recreational uses of Sabin Pond and Boardman Pond will shift from uses associated with an impoundment to those associated with a river. (A19 D5)
- Angling opportunities will increase due to new riverine habitat created at the location of the impoundments and the opportunity created by spawning runs of salmon and steelhead.
- Fish populations in Grand Traverse Bay may increase as a result of improved habitat and accessibility in the Boardman River.
- Waterfowl hunting on Brown Bridge Pond will not be adversely impacted.
- A whitewater park may be feasible at several locations along the new river channel. (B14)
- Recreational use patterns of users of the Boardman River may change due to the new river segments that will be created. Users interested in a quiet paddling experience may avoid the new river segments, while paddlers who enjoy a strong current may seek out the new river segments.
- The safety concerns associated with an impoundment will continue to exist at Boardman Lake. Steep slopes and fast current may pose a hazard to certain recreational users depending on their experience with river paddling. (D13)
- The County’s Natural Education Reserve will be impacted to the extent that an impoundment will be replaced with a new river segment. Educational programs that depend on an impoundment may need to relocate to a nearby lake or pond, while educational programs at Sabin and Boardman Pond can be redesigned to include river ecology and restoration ecology. (D17)

Community

- The economic gains for restoring a portion of high quality trout stream and improving the fishery in Grand Traverse Bay will be realized. (C12)
- The taxpayers in the City will be responsible for paying to maintain the remaining Union Street and Brown Bridge Dams. (C26)

Historic Value

- The dams and powerhouses are not eligible for designation as historic structures; therefore, the repair, removal and modification of the dams will not have an impact on historic properties.

Cost

- The cost of this alternative is estimated to be between $3,800,000 and $6,100,000, which includes annual maintenance of the dams.

Economic Benefit

- Visitors to the Boardman River are estimated to contribute annually $4 million dollars to the local economy. The increase in visitor expenditures has not been determined.

Property Value

- Residential properties within a ½ mile of the current impoundments may see an eventual increase in property values due to dam removal. (C1)
The current property boundaries will not be affected by this alternative. (C3)

**Funding**
- The repair, modification, removal and maintenance of the dams are the responsibility of the owners of the dams. (C6,C7)

**Energy**
- This alternative allows traditional hydroelectric power to be considered at Brown Bridge dam. Alternative methods of hydroelectric energy production that rely on flowing water may be feasible. (C28, D4)

**Jobs**
- This alternative may have an impact on job growth in various economic sectors due to the potential for whitewater paddling and increased angler interest in the restored portion of the Boardman River and Grand Traverse Bay. (C18, C22)

**Infrastructure**
- This alternative will have no significant impact on transportation or other infrastructure. (A17)
- There will be no significant impact on structure crossings within and downstream of the project area to the termination in Grand Traverse Bay. (B11)
- The risks and liabilities associated with the dams may change if this alternative is implemented. The City and County are responsible for maintenance of the dams and assume the risks and liabilities of the ownership of the dams; therefore, by eliminating two of the dams the risk and liability may be reduced. (D20)